



Appointments, Promotion & Tenure Criteria and Procedures
School of Communication
Revised: 06/14/15

Table of Contents

I. Preamble	3
II. School Mission	3
III. Definitions	4
A. Committee of the Eligible Faculty	4
1. Tenure-track Faculty	4
2. Clinical Faculty	4
3. Conflict of Interest	5
4. Minimum Composition	5
B. Promotion and Tenure Committee	5
C. Quorum	6
D. Recommendation from the Committee of the Eligible Faculty	6
1. Appointment	6
2. Reappointment, Promotion and Tenure, Promotion, and Contract Renewal	7
IV. Appointments	7
A. Criteria	7
1. Tenure-track Faculty	7
2. Tenure-track Faculty – Regional Campus	8
3. Clinical Faculty	8
4. Associated Faculty	9
5. Courtesy Appointments for Faculty	10
B. Procedures	10
1. Tenure-track Faculty	10
2. Tenure-track Faculty – Regional Campus	12
3. Clinical Faculty	13
4. Transfer from the Tenure-track	13
5. Associated Faculty	13
6. Courtesy Appointments for Faculty	14
V. Annual Review Procedures	14
A. Probationary Tenure-track Faculty	14
1. Regional Campus Faculty	17
2. Fourth-Year Review	17
3. Exclusion of Time from Probationary Period	17
B. Tenured Faculty	17
C. Tenured Faculty - Regional Campus	18

D. Clinical Faculty	18
E. Lecturers	20
VI. Merit Salary Increases and Other Rewards	21
A. Criteria	21
B. Procedures	22
C. Documentation	22
VII. Promotion and Promotion and Tenure and Reviews	22
A. Criteria	22
1. Promotion to the Rank of Associate Professor with Tenure	22
2. Promotion to the Rank of Professor	23
3. Regional Campus Faculty	24
4. Clinical Faculty	25
B. Procedures	26
1. Candidate Responsibilities	26
2. Promotion and Tenure Committee Responsibilities	27
3. Eligible Faculty Responsibilities	29
4. School Director Responsibilities	29
5. Procedures for Regional Campus Faculty	30
6. External Evaluations	31
C. Documentation	32
1. Teaching	32
2. Scholarship	33
3. Service	34
VIII. Appeals	34
IX. Seventh Year Reviews	34
X. Student and Peer Evaluation of Teaching	35
Appendix A Expectations for Assistant Professors before Promotion to Associate Professor	40
Appendix B Expectations for Associate Professors before Promotion to Full Professor	43
Appendix C Mentoring Guidelines	48



School of Communication Appointments, Promotion and Tenure Document

I. Preamble

This document is a supplement to Chapters 6 and 7 of the rules of the University Faculty, the Office of Academic Affairs procedural guidelines for promotion and tenure reviews, and any additional policies established by the college and the University. Should those rules and policies change, the School will follow those new rules and policies until such time as it can update this document to reflect the changes. In addition, this document must be reviewed, and either reaffirmed or revised, at least every four years on appointment or reappointment of the School Director.

The principles under which decisions on appointment, reappointment, promotion and tenure are made are those articulated in **Faculty Rule 3335-6-01 – General Considerations** (<http://trustees.osu.edu/university/facultyrules>):

1 Peer review provides the foundation for decisions regarding faculty appointment, reappointment, and promotion and tenure (except when the provisions of paragraph (H) of rule 3335-6-03 of the Administrative Code are invoked).

2 In accordance with a policy of equality of opportunity, decisions concerning appointment, reappointment, and promotion and tenure shall be free of discrimination as to age, ancestry, color, disability, gender identity or expression, genetic information, military status, national origin, race, religion, sex, sexual orientation, or veteran status, and other categories covered in the university nondiscrimination policy.

II. School Mission

The mission of the School of Communication is to achieve national and international distinction in research, teaching and service. To accomplish our mission, the School advances high quality social science scholarship and engages in innovative and excellent undergraduate and graduate education. We serve scholarly, professional and public constituencies by helping improve the understanding of communication processes and by working with professionals in communication, journalism, and other disciplines to improve the practice of communication.

The School of Communication at The Ohio State University embraces and maintains an environment that respects diverse traditions, heritages, experiences, and people. Our commitment to diversity moves beyond mere tolerance to recognizing, understanding, and welcoming the contributions of diverse groups and the value group members possess as individuals. In our School, the faculty, students, and staff are dedicated to building a tradition of diversity with principles of equal opportunity and multiculturalism.

III. Definitions

A. Committee of the Eligible Faculty

1. Tenure-track Faculty

For tenure-track appointments, the eligible faculty consists of all tenured and tenure-track faculty whose tenure resides in the School of Communication. For an appointment at senior rank, a second vote is taken by the faculty members eligible to vote on the rank under consideration.

For senior rank tenured or tenure-track faculty, the eligible faculty for new appointments, reappointments, promotions and tenure, and promotion reviews consists of all tenured faculty of higher rank than the candidate whose tenure resides in the school, excluding the school director, the dean and assistant and associate deans of the college, the executive vice president and provost, and the president.

For tenure reviews of probationary professors, eligible faculty are tenured professors whose tenure resides in the school excluding the school director, the dean and assistant and associate deans of the college, the executive vice president and provost, and the president.

2. Clinical Faculty

The eligible faculty for senior rank of new appointments, reappointment, contract renewal, and promotion of clinical faculty consists of all tenured faculty whose tenure resides in the school and are of equal rank to or higher rank than the candidate and all nonprobationary clinical faculty of equal rank to or higher rank than the candidate whose primary appointment is in the School, excluding the school director, the dean and assistant and associate deans of the college, the executive vice president and provost, and the president.

3. Conflict of Interest

A conflict of interest exists when an eligible faculty member is related to a candidate or has a comparable close interpersonal relationship, has substantive financial ties with the candidate, is dependent in some way on the candidate's services, has a close professional relationship with the candidate (dissertation

advisor), or has collaborated so extensively with the candidate that an objective review of the candidate's work is not possible. Such a conflict may exist when the faculty member stands to gain or lose professionally from the outcome of the review of a candidate.

Generally, faculty members who have collaborated with a candidate on at least 50% of the candidate's published work since the last promotion will be expected to withdraw from a promotion review of that candidate. The school also recognizes that there may be instances in the patterns of collaboration or the quality of collaborative work suggests a conflict of interest even though less than 50% of the total work is with a specific colleague. Additionally, there may be conflicts in instances in which the candidate may have collaborative work with multiple co-authors, and the sum of the collaborative effort is greater than 50% of the total work even though any specific individual's collaboration is less than 50%.

4. Minimum Composition

In the event that the school does not have at least three eligible faculty members who can undertake a review, the school director, after consulting with the dean, will appoint a faculty member from another department or school within the college.

B. Promotion and Tenure Committee

The school has a Promotion and Tenure (P&T) Committee that assists the Committee of the Eligible Faculty in managing personnel and promotion and

tenure issues. The committee consists of three professors and two associate professors. The committee's chair and membership are appointed by the school director. Ordinarily, the chair of the P&T Committee is also the chair of the Committee of the Eligible Faculty. The term of service is three years, with reappointment possible. At least two of the five members of the Promotion and Tenure Committee must be full professors. In consultation with the Chair of the Eligible Faculty, one of the five members will be appointed by the Director as the Procedural Oversight Designee (POD), as required by university guidelines. When considering cases involving clinical faculty, the Promotion and Tenure Committee may be augmented by up to two nonprobationary clinical faculty members.

The Director, in consultation with the Chair of the Committee of Eligible Faculty, may appoint one or more Promotion and Tenure Committees for all assistant professors being reviewed for fourth year review or for promotion and tenure during a particular year.

C. Quorum

The presence of 2/3 of the eligible faculty in the meeting constitutes a quorum. The director as well as individuals who are on sabbatical, official medical leave, who are on their off duty semester, or who have more than 50% of their appointment outside of the School are not counted in the number needed to reach a quorum. A member of the eligible faculty on special assignment may be excluded from the count for the purposes of determining quorum only if the school director has approved an off-campus assignment. Faculty members who recuse themselves because of a conflict of interest are not counted when determining quorum.

D. Recommendation from the Committee of the Eligible Faculty

Only those present at the meeting or participating by teleconference or videolink are eligible to vote. Those who are not present may not send a vote to be entered on their behalf, nor may they send a written statement to be read, nor have their opinions presented in the meeting, because such statements cannot be responsive to discussion at the meeting. Faculty members who have been recused cannot be represented in the discussion. Absentee ballots and proxy votes are not permitted.

In all votes taken on personnel matters only “yes” and “no” votes are counted. Abstentions are not votes. Faculty members are strongly encouraged to consider whether they are participating fully in the review process when abstaining from a vote on a personnel matter.

1. Appointment

A positive recommendation from the eligible faculty for appointment is secured when two-thirds of the votes cast are positive.

2. Reappointment, Promotion and Tenure, Promotion, and Contract Renewal

A positive recommendation from the eligible faculty for reappointment, promotion and tenure, promotion, and contract renewal is secured when a two-thirds of the votes cast are positive. There will be a report of the actual vote in numbers.

IV. Appointments

A. Criteria

All appointments, reappointments and promotion and tenure decisions are made with the intent of fostering the mission of the School and are made in a non-discriminatory manner. The School, in keeping with its stated mission and with the criteria of the University for faculty appointments (as stated in **Faculty Rule 3335-6-02 [A]**), is committed to making faculty appointments that have the strong potential to enhance the quality of the School.

1. Tenure-track Faculty

Instructor Appointment at the rank of instructor is made only when the offered appointment is that of assistant professor, but requirements for the terminal degree have not been completed by the candidate at the time of appointment. The school will make every effort to avoid such appointments. An appointment to the rank of instructor is always probationary and may not exceed three years. An instructor must be approved for promotion to assistant professor by the end of the third year of appointment or the appointment will not be renewed beyond the end of the third year. When an instructor is promoted to the rank of assistant professor, prior service credit may be granted for time spent as an instructor if the faculty member requests such credit in writing at the time of the promotion. This request must be approved by the tenure-initiating unit's eligible faculty, the tenure-initiating unit head, the dean of the college, and the Office of Academic Affairs.

Assistant Professor The basic criteria for appointment as an assistant professor are ordinarily an earned doctorate, experience and training suggesting a high likelihood of success as a scholar, and evidence indicating the potential to become an excellent teacher.

University rules regarding probationary service and duration of appointments for faculty are found in **Faculty Rule 3335-6-03**. Appointment at the rank of assistant professor is always probationary, with mandatory tenure review occurring in the sixth year of service. Review for tenure prior to the mandatory review year is possible when the Promotion and Tenure Committee determines such a review to be appropriate. The granting of prior service credit, which requires approval of the Office of Academic Affairs, may reduce the length of the probationary period but is strongly discouraged as it cannot be revoked once granted.

Associate Professor and Full Professor. Minimum criteria for tenured associate professors on the Columbus campus are an earned doctorate, a substantial record of scholarly achievement in an area relevant to one of the School's priority areas and/or relevant to the mission of the College, and an evident national reputation as a scholar with potential to attain, or evidence of, international visibility. Additionally, there must be evidence that the applicant has been an excellent teacher and has provided substantial service to the profession, the state, and/or the university. Appointment at senior rank normally entails tenure. A probationary appointment at senior rank is appropriate only under unusual circumstances, such as when the candidate has limited prior teaching experience or has taught only in a foreign country. A probationary period of up to four years is possible, on approval of the Office of Academic Affairs, with review for tenure occurring in the final year of the probationary appointment. If tenure is not granted, an additional (terminal) year of employment is offered.

2. Tenure-track Faculty—Regional Campus

Minimum criteria for regional campus faculty appointments are similar to those for main campus appointments. In general, however, relatively lesser weight will be placed on the quantity of a candidate's research compared to Columbus appointments and more emphasis is placed on teaching potential and accomplishments, in recognition of the differing mission of the regional campuses. The quality of research of regional campus appointments should be comparable to that of Columbus appointments.

3. Clinical Faculty

General In keeping with **Faculty Rule 3335-5-19**, the School's non-tenurable Clinical Faculty (CF) is designed to attract the most highly-qualified individuals to teach clinical and professional skills-oriented courses.

Minimum Requirements The minimum basic criterion for appointment as Clinical Assistant Professor of Communication is a master's degree in communication or journalism or a related field and substantial industry/professional experience in the area of appointment.

The minimum criteria for Clinical Associate Professor or Clinical Full Professor of Communication includes an earned doctorate and substantial industry/professional experience in the area of appointment.

Term Clinical faculty initial appointments are ordinarily made for three years and require formal approval each year by the Director if they are to be renewed. After the first three years, a second appointment can be made for three years. A third appointment will ordinarily be for five years. There is no presumption that subsequent contracts will be offered, regardless of performance. If the school wishes to consider contract renewal, a formal review of the faculty member is required in the

penultimate year of the current contract period. For more information see Faculty Rule 3335-733 (<http://trustees.osu.edu>).

4. Associated Faculty

The School appreciates the opportunity to extend its faculty members' intellectual horizons and interactions with associated faculty whenever the opportunity provides a benefit for the School and the faculty member.

Associated faculty appointments carry no presumption of academic tenure. Unless otherwise indicated below, all appointments are for a one-year term which may or may not be renewed. As described below, these appointments may be uncompensated (the most common) or compensated.

Lecturer Appointment to the position of Lecturer is made primarily to assist in meeting the School's instructional obligations. As such, the primary criterion for those appointed to these titles is a demonstrated skill as an instructor, especially in undergraduate courses. Senior lecturer appointments require a PhD and substantial teaching experience.

Lecturer appointments are made on an annual basis and require formal approval each year by the Director if they are to be continued. The criteria for appointment will be similar to those used for faculty as outlined in the previous sections of this document. Highly dedicated lecturers and senior lecturers may be provided with up to 3-year appointments, contingent on available resources and continual proof of teaching ability.

Visiting Faculty (Visiting Assistant Professor, Visiting Associate Professor, Visiting Professor) Visiting faculty appointments may either be compensated or not compensated. Visiting faculty members on leave from an academic appointment at another institution are appointed at the rank held in that position. The rank at which other (non-faculty) individuals are appointed is determined by applying the criteria for appointment of tenure-track faculty. Visiting faculty members are not eligible for tenure or promotion. They may not be reappointed for more than three consecutive years at 100% FTE.

The minimum criteria for visiting faculty is in line with the School's general, tenure-track faculty. This type of appointment is typically unpaid and designated for individuals seeking to use their sabbatical leave to work on research with School faculty. Individuals requesting a visiting faculty appointment must have a School faculty sponsor who will present their request at a faculty meeting where a vote will be taken whether or not to grant said request.

In the event the visiting faculty appointment request includes a compensation component, the sponsor must first seek the Director's approval before proceeding. If the compensated or uncompensated appointment is approved by the Director, the

sponsor will then present the request at a faculty meeting where a vote will be taken whether or not to grant a time-limited position. The vote is advisory to the Director.

5. Courtesy Appointments for Faculty

No-salary/courtesy appointments are extended to Ohio State faculty from other tenure initiating units on the expectation of the appointee's substantial involvement in the School and its programs. Continuation of such courtesy appointments is contingent upon the continuation of the appointee's contributions to the School. Appropriate active involvement includes research collaboration, graduate student advising, teaching some or all of a course from time to time, or a combination of these. A courtesy appointment is made at the individual's current Ohio State rank, with promotion in rank recognized.

B. Procedures

See the Faculty Policy on Faculty Recruitment and Selection and the Policy on Faculty Appointments in the Office of Academic Affairs Policies and Procedures Handbook (<http://oaa.osu.edu/handbook.html>) for additional information.

1. Tenure-track Faculty

All faculty searches will be conducted within the University guidelines outlined in the *Guide to Effective Searches* produced by the Offices of Human Resources and Academic Affairs. (<http://hr.osu.edu/hrpubs/guidesearches.pdf>). A national search is required unless an exception is approved by the college and the Office of Academic Affairs (tenure-track faculty only).

All personnel appointments in the School are made upon the recommendation of the Director and the approval of the Executive Dean of the College of Arts and Sciences. Appointments at the associate professor or professor ranks, with or without tenure, require prior approval of the Office of Academic Affairs. Offers to foreign nationals require prior consultation with the Office of International Affairs.

The School Director has primary responsibility for recruiting new faculty. All faculty appointments are competitive on the basis of excellence of qualifications. The Director is assisted in faculty recruiting by faculty Search Committees, the Director's Advisory Committee, and the faculty as a whole. All consultation with School personnel on faculty appointments, including discussion and votes taken in faculty meetings, is advisory to the Director.

All faculty vacancies are School vacancies; the entire faculty of the School has a vested interest in recruiting quality faculty, regardless of their particular area of specialization. The decision to allocate additional funds or to shift resources from

one program or priority area to another is the responsibility of the Director, who will be advised in these matters by the Director's Advisory Committee and the School faculty.

All faculty members are encouraged to help in publicizing, recruiting, and evaluating applicants for faculty positions. The official mechanism for recruiting new faculty is the Search Committee. Search Committees will normally consist of four to five tenure-track faculty members plus the Director as an *ex-officio* member. One member of the committee will be designated as Search Committee chair and another member as diversity representative.

The Search Committee chair, working with the Search Committee and the Director, shall prepare and place notices of the position vacancies in appropriate professional outlets, such as professional organizations' mailing lists, websites, newsletters, etc., and internal publications according to university regulations. The committee shall make every effort to solicit applications from minority candidates for all positions. The Search Committee screens all application materials. Faculty who are not members of the Search Committee are invited to help in this screening process.

If there is any likelihood that the applicant pool will include qualified foreign nationals, the search committee must advertise using at least one 30-day online ad in a national professional journal. The university does not grant tenure in the absence of permanent residency ("green card"), and strict U. S. Department of Labor guidelines do not permit sponsorship of foreign nationals for permanent residency unless the search process resulting in their appointment to a tenure track position included an advertisement in a field-specific nationally professional journal.

Following the application deadline and consultation with the faculty, the committee then recommends to the Director any candidates they would like to bring in for an interview. The Director may select one or more of these candidates to interview, with the approval of the Divisional Dean. If the Director has substantial disagreement with the Search Committee recommendation regarding the selection of candidates, advice of the Director's Advisory Committee will be sought.

The chair of the Search Committee, in consultation with the Director and the School's fiscal/HR officer and School staff, coordinates visits of all applicants. All faculty and graduate students are given an opportunity to meet with the candidates and express opinions as to the suitability of each candidate. Graduate student meetings with candidates should not be attended by faculty. All candidates for faculty positions are required to present a School colloquium. Following campus visits, the Search Committee systematically solicits the reactions of faculty and students about the applicants. In addition to open-ended comments that are sought after each visit, after the final visit, each faculty member will indicate with a "yes" or "no" whether the candidate would be an acceptable faculty member in the School.

The Search Committee collects all the comments from faculty and tabulates the acceptability of each candidate. After discussion among members of the search

committee, the search committee chair makes a rank-order hiring recommendation to the School Director. After the Search Committee discusses its recommendation with the Director, the Search Committee will take the recommendation to the faculty as a whole for discussion and action. In that discussion, the search committee makes a recommendation to the faculty as to whether or not an offer should be made to each of the ranked candidates. The chair of the Search Committee or assigned committee members will summarize the Search Committee's assessments of the candidate and faculty comments. A description of courses to be taught will be included in this summary.

Following the Search Committee summary, the members of the Search Committee and the faculty at large will discuss each candidate and aspects of extending or not extending an offer to the candidate.

The candidates will be presented in the search committee's rank order. Following each discussion, the faculty at large (including members of the Search Committee) will vote "hire" or "do not hire" for each candidate. Ordinarily, such votes will be conducted by secret ballot, but in some instances other concerns (such as expediency) may require a discussion over e-mail or other form, and a ballot may be public or via various (non-anonymous) forms of communication.

All recommendations of the Search Committee and the faculty are advisory to the Director. The Director or a designee will receive the ballots and count them (in the presence of a Search Committee member), and will make public the result of the vote. In situations in which there is no clear majority, the Director may call for a ranked voting procedure, such as a Borda count or Hare procedure, or a truncated ballot.

The Director will determine whether a formal offer will be extended to the top candidate, whether one of the lesser ranked candidates should be offered the position, or whether a new search should be conducted. The Director will keep the faculty apprised of negotiations and will inform the faculty of the success or failure of an offer. If an offer is refused or rescinded, the Director, ordinarily in consultation with the Search Committee and the faculty, will decide whether to make an offer to the second ranked candidate.

2. Tenure-track Faculty - Regional Campuses

The regional campus has primary responsibility for determining the position description for a tenure-track faculty search, but the dean/director or designee consults with the school director to reach agreement on the description before the search begins. The regional campus search committee must include at least one representative from the school.

Candidates are interviewed by, at a minimum, the regional campus dean, school director, school eligible faculty, and regional campus search committee. The regional campus may have additional requirements for the search not specified in this

document. A decision to make an offer requires agreement by the school director and regional campus dean. Until agreement is reached, negotiations with the candidate may not begin, and the letter of offer must be signed by the school director and the regional campus dean.

3. Clinical Faculty

Searches for clinical faculty generally proceed identically as for tenure-track faculty, with the exception that the candidate's presentation during the on-campus interview is on clinical/professional practice rather than scholarship, and exceptions to a national search only requires approval by the college dean.

4. Transfer from the Tenure-track

Tenure-track faculty may transfer to a clinical appointment if appropriate circumstances exist. Tenure is lost upon transfer, and transfers must be approved by the school director, the college dean, and the executive vice president and provost. The request for transfer must be initiated by the faculty member in writing and must state clearly how the individual's career goals and activities have changed.

Transfers from a clinical appointment to the tenure-track are not permitted. Clinical faculty members may apply for tenure-track positions and compete in regular national searches for such positions.

5. Associated Faculty

The appointment, review, and reappointment of all compensated associated faculty is decided by the school director in consultation with the school's eligible faculty. Appointment and reappointment of uncompensated adjunct or visiting faculty may be proposed by any faculty member in the school and is decided by the school director in consultation with the school's eligible faculty.

Compensated associated appointments are generally made for a period of one year, unless a shorter or longer period is appropriate to the circumstances. All associated appointments expire at the end of the appointment term and must be formally renewed to be continued. Visiting appointments may be made for one term of up to three years or on an annual basis for up to three consecutive years.

Lecturer and senior lecturer appointments are usually made on an annual basis. After the initial appointment, and if the school's curricular needs warrant it, a multiple year appointment may be offered.

Associated faculty for whom promotion is a possibility follow the promotion guidelines and procedures for tenure-track faculty (see Appointment Criteria above), with the exception that the review does not proceed to the college level if the school

director's recommendation is negative, and does not proceed to the university level if the dean's recommendation is negative.

6. Courtesy Appointments for Faculty

Any school faculty member may propose a 0% FTE (courtesy) appointment for a tenure-track, clinical, or research faculty member from another Ohio State department. A proposal that describes the uncompensated academic service to this school justifying the appointment is considered at a regular faculty meeting. If the proposal is approved by the eligible faculty, the school director extends an offer of appointment. The school director reviews all courtesy appointments every three years to determine whether they continue to be justified, and takes recommendations for nonrenewal before the faculty for a vote at a regular meeting.

Nominations for courtesy appointments in the School for individuals holding faculty rank in other tenure initiating units of Ohio State are initiated by faculty of the School. Nominations are made to the faculty of the School and should include advance distribution of the nominee's vita. At the faculty meeting at which the nomination is considered, the nominator should review the highlights of the nominee's vita and discuss the contributions the nominee would make to the programs of the School.

Following discussion, a vote by secret ballot will be taken. The Director will make the final decision and will notify the nominee and the chairperson of the nominee's unit of the courtesy appointment. Termination of an existing courtesy appointment may be initiated by any faculty member. The primary reason for failing to renew an appointment is the lack of a substantive contribution to the School.

V. Annual Review Procedures

Formal annual review of the faculty will be conducted by the Director and may be based on input from and consultation with the tenured faculty (for probationary faculty) and the full professors (for tenured associate professors).

The school follows the requirements for annual reviews as set forth in the Faculty Annual Review Policy (<http://oaa.osu.edu/assets/files/documents/annualreview.pdf>). The annual reviews of every faculty member are based on expected performance in teaching, scholarship, and service as set forth in the school's guidelines on faculty duties and responsibilities; on any additional assignments and goals specific to the individual; and on progress toward promotion where relevant.

A. Probationary Tenure-track Faculty

At the time of appointment, probationary tenure-track faculty members shall be provided with all pertinent documents detailing tenure initiating unit, college and university promotion and tenure policies and criteria. If these documents are revised during the

probationary period, probationary faculty members shall be provided with copies of the revised documents. (see Faculty Rule 3335-6-03)

Performance reviews of probationary faculty take place annually. For untenured faculty, this review is a critical component of monitoring progress toward tenure and promotion. The annual review also serves as a basis for annual salary recommendations, for assisting faculty in developing and carrying out professional plans and for calling attention to performance problems where they may exist. It is expected that probationary faculty will exhibit substantial strength and continued progress in research, teaching and service within the context of the mission of the School, University rules pertaining to promotion and tenure, and years in service as an assistant professor. Performance in all three areas should show a trajectory toward demonstrating excellence, though strong confidence about excellence in research and teaching accomplishments are crucial as these are the chief dimensions of performance appraisal at the time of consideration for promotion and tenure. The School should commit to not renewing a probationary appointment following any annual review in which it is apparent that the candidate's likelihood of meeting expectations for promotion and tenure is poor.

Mentors The School has adopted a formal mentoring system. Detailed information on mentors and mentoring is in Appendix F.

Documentation By early January of each year each probationary faculty member must provide to the Director a copy of their current CV and a copy of their dossier. Probationary (non-tenured) faculty members should refer to the OAA website when putting together the dossier. The dossier must be filled out according to the College requirements, including percentage of effort and manuscript submission dates.

Copies of all faculty CVs shall be made available in the School main office, and any faculty member may review them at any time.

Faculty Review Each year, the Director, in consultation with the Chair of the Eligible Faculty, will convene the Eligible Faculty of the School for the purpose of reviewing all probationary faculty members.

Committee members will be provided with full dossier and documentation to be reviewed by deadline dates provided by the Director. The dates may vary depending on College and University deadlines. Although the College requires that candidates submit a curriculum vita to the School Director, the dossier is the standard used for annual review considerations so candidates should assure that it is as up-to-date as possible. Any discrepancies between the dossier and the curriculum vita will be resolved through use of the dossier material, rather than the curriculum vita. The Chair of the Eligible Faculty will preside over the meeting and the Director and an assigned staff member will make note of comments and recommendations associated with each faculty member reviewed. These comments and recommendations will be used by the Director when writing annual review letters and will be used during individual conferences. Votes on renewal are not

taken during annual review years unless there is a motion for nonrenewal from the faculty, or a request from the director.

As stated in **Faculty Rule 3335-6-03(C)** regarding probationary service review: *If the chair's (Director's) recommendation is to reappoint the faculty member to another probationary year of service, that recommendation shall be final. A recommendation from the chair (Director) to not reappoint the faculty member to another probationary year requires a review that follows fourth year review procedures (see paragraph (G) of this rule) and the Dean shall make the final decision in the matter.*

Feedback As noted in Section D.1.d., the Committee of Eligible Faculty (tenured faculty in the School) will meet annually to discuss the progress of assistant professors. The Eligible Faculty (in this case, full professors) will meet annually to discuss the progress of associate professors. Comments from the Eligible Faculty will be used in the Director's annual review letters.

The Director will meet with every untenured faculty member annually to discuss the faculty member's performance and future goals and plans. Untenured regional campus faculty are included in the schedule of such meetings. In this meeting, the Director will convey to the faculty members feedback regarding their performance in the teaching, research and service categories.

This feedback is to include any evaluative assessments provided by the meeting of the tenured faculty during the deliberations of the eligible faculty, and any other pertinent assessment of the faculty member's progress toward tenure, including discussion of dimensions on which the assessment by the eligible faculty, and/or the Director differ. This written feedback also is provided to the Executive Dean. For tenure-track regional campus faculty, written feedback is conveyed to the regional campus dean. All annual review letters become a part of a faculty member's dossier for subsequent annual reviews during the probationary period, including the review for promotion and tenure.

Annual reviews should be constructive and candid. Tenured faculty in the School and the Director should use the review process as a means to be supportive and helpful to untenured faculty as well as to candidly and clearly communicate aspects of performance that need improvement if the candidate is to make acceptable progress toward tenure. Any and all written comments submitted by the faculty member will be placed in his/her annual review materials. In a separate letter there will be a salary recommendation (for Columbus faculty).

As set forth in **Faculty Rule 3335-6-03(G)**, *Probationary appointments may be terminated during any probationary year because of inadequate performance or inadequate professional development. At any time other than the fourth year review or mandatory review for tenure, a nonrenewal decision must be based on the results of a formal performance review conducted in accord with fourth year review procedures as set forth in paragraph (C)(3) of this rule. Notification of nonrenewal must be consistent with the standards of notice set forth in **Rule 3335-6-08** of the Administrative Code.*

1. Regional Campus Faculty

Annual review of the probationary faculty member is first conducted on the regional campus, with a focus on teaching and service. The review then moves to the school and proceeds as described above. In the event of divergence in performance assessment between the regional campus and the school, the school director discusses the matter with the regional campus dean/director in an effort to clarify and reconcile the divergence, so that the faculty member receives consistent assessment and advice.

2. Fourth Year Review

The fourth-year review of probationary faculty is conducted in the same time-frame as the annual reviews of other probationary faculty but requires a more elaborate report of activities from the faculty member. The fourth year review of probationary faculty shall follow the same process as the review for tenure and promotion at the School and College levels with one exception: external letters of evaluation are not solicited. Renewal of the appointment of a probationary assistant professor for the fifth year requires the approval of the Executive Dean of the college.

3. Exclusion of Time from probationary period

The School follows the provisions of **Faculty Rule 3335-6-03 (D)** (<http://trustees.osu.edu/rules/university-rules/chapter-3335-6-rules-of-the-university-faculty-concerning-faculty-appointments-reappointments-promotion-and-tenure.html>)

B. Tenured Faculty

Performance reviews of all tenured faculty members take place annually. The annual review also serves as a basis for annual salary recommendations, for assisting faculty in developing and carrying out professional plans and for calling attention to performance problems where they may exist. It is expected that all tenured faculty will exhibit substantial strength and continued progress in research, teaching and service within the context of the mission of the School.

Documentation By mid-January of each year each tenured faculty member must provide the Director with documentation of annual activities and performance in teaching, research and service as well as evidence of continuing development. The Director will provide faculty with the format for this documentation. The document must be filled out according to the College requirements.

Copies of faculty CVs will be made available in the School's main office and any faculty member may review them at any time.

Faculty Review The committee of eligible faculty, consisting of full professors, will review the documentation of associate professors. The comments and recommendations

provided by the full professors will be used by the Director when writing annual review letters for associate professors. Reviewed faculty members may respond in writing to the annual review summary and such response will be included in their personnel file along with the Director's letter.

The assessment of performance will include both strengths and weaknesses, as appropriate. This review should play a critical role in monitoring progress toward promotion to the rank of Professor. Formal annual review of the full professors is conducted each year by the Director.

The Director will meet with every tenured faculty member annually to discuss the faculty member's performance and future goals and plans.

In addition to the annual review letter, there will be a separate letter concerning salary recommendations (for Columbus faculty). Annual reviews are intended to be constructive and candid, and to communicate aspects of performance that need improvement as well as strengths. All annual review letters become a part of a faculty member's personnel file.

Response to evaluation and review of personnel file For all faculty members, the annual review letter includes a reminder that the faculty member may respond, in writing, to feedback about performance and that the faculty member may review his or her personnel file. **Faculty Rule 3335-5-04(A)(6)** states: *"At the time of their initial appointment and when they receive their annual review, faculty members shall be given notice of their right to review their personnel file maintained by their tenure initiating unit... A member of the faculty may place in his or her primary personnel file a response to any evaluation, comment or other material contained in the file."*

C. Tenured Faculty – Regional Campus

Annual review of the tenured faculty member is first conducted on the regional campus, with a focus on teaching and service. The review then moves to the school and proceeds as described above. In the event of divergence in performance assessment between the regional campus and the school, the school director discusses the matter with the regional campus dean/director in an effort to clarify and reconcile the divergence, so that the faculty member receives consistent assessment and advice.

D. Clinical Faculty

Performance reviews of Clinical Faculty (CF) take place annually. For CF, this review is a critical component of monitoring progress and serves as a basis for annual salary recommendations. It is also a resource for CF in developing and carrying out professional plans and serves as an aide in calling attention to performance problems where they may exist.

It is expected that CF will exhibit substantial strength and continued progress in teaching and service within the context of the mission of the School, university rules and years in service as a CF member. Performance in the following areas should show a trajectory toward demonstrating excellence and are the chief components in considering promotion.

Performance areas include classroom teaching and service to the unit, university, and/or community. In addition to demonstrating excellence in teaching and service, we expect Clinical Faculty to:

- Embody the highest ethical and professional standards of the discipline
- Maintain current knowledge in the CF member's area of expertise
- Demonstrate understanding and commitment to the goals of the School

The School is committed to excellence and will not renew a probationary appointment following any annual review in which it is apparent that the candidate's likelihood of meeting performance expectations is poor.

Documentation By early January of each year, each CF member must provide to the Director a copy of their current CV and a copy of their dossier. Copies of all faculty CVs shall be made available in the School main office, and any faculty member may review them at any time.

Faculty review Each year, the Director, in consultation with the Director of the Eligible Faculty, will convene the eligible faculty of the School for the purpose of reviewing all CF members. The dates may vary depending on College and University deadlines. The director of the committee of eligible faculty will preside over the meeting and the Director and an assigned staff member will make note of comments and recommendations associated with each faculty member reviewed. These comments and recommendations will be used by the Associate Director when writing annual review letters and will be used during individual conferences. The Associate Director will perform annual, written evaluations of CF at the same time that the tenure-track Faculty are evaluated. The comments and recommendations of the review committee will be used by the Associate Director when writing annual review letters and will be used during individual conferences.

The eligible faculty will be provided with CVs and related materials by deadline dates provided by the Director.

Feedback The Associate Director will annually meet with every CF member to discuss the faculty member's performance and future goals and plans. During their individual meetings, the Associate Director will convey to the CF member feedback regarding their performance in the teaching, service, professional standards and other expectations.

All annual review letters become a part of a CF member's dossier for subsequent annual reviews.

Annual reviews should be constructive and candid. Tenured and tenure-track faculty in the School and the Associate Director should use the review process as a means to be supportive and helpful to CF as well as to candidly and clearly communicate aspects of performance that need improvement. Any and all written comments submitted by the CF member will be placed in his/her annual review materials. In a separate letter there will be a salary recommendation.

As set forth in **Rule 3335-7-07**, The Director will notify CF at the end of each year of the probationary period whether he or she will be reappointed for the following year. By the end of the second-to-last year of the contract, the CF member will undergo a review that follows fourth year review procedures for tenure track faculty, with the exception that external evaluations are not solicited. Following the review, the clinical faculty member shall be notified whether a new contract will be offered or not.

After the completion of a probationary 3-year contract, CF may be reappointed for additional terms ranging from 3-5 years. These extended appointments are not probationary, and the individual can be terminated before the end of a contract only for cause (as defined in **Rule 3335-5-04** of the Administrative Code) or financial exigency (as defined in **Rule 3335-5-02.1**).

E. Lecturers

General Formal annual reappointment review of lecturers will be conducted by the Associate Directors of Undergraduate Programs and may be based on input from and consultation with the tenured faculty. The annual review serves as a basis for calling attention to performance problems where they may exist.

Documentation During the Spring of each year, the Section Heads for Communication Studies and Journalism will be provided with all documents necessary for reviewing the full-time lecturers during the previous calendar year. These documents include SEI reports and open-ended comments from all of the sections that each lecturer has taught.

Review The time-frame for the review will be the previous calendar year. A review of each full-time lecturers' performance will be sent to the lecturers at the end of Spring.

Annual reviews should be constructive and candid. The Section Heads for Communication Studies and Journalism should use the review process as a means to be supportive and helpful to the lecturers as well as to candidly and clearly communicate aspects of performance that need improvement. Following the annual review, the section heads make a recommendation to the School Director regarding renewal/nonrenewal.

VI. Merit Salary Increases and Other Rewards

A. Criteria

Salary increases for Columbus faculty are determined by the Director and the College Executive Dean and are based exclusively on merit. Equity can also be considered in accordance with college guidelines. For tenure-track faculty, accomplishments in research, teaching and service are all considered in arriving at a final determination of any salary increase. Documentation of performance is as described above for purposes of annual evaluation.

Merit salary increases are denied to faculty who fail to submit documentation as described above. Raises for regional campus faculty are determined by the regional campus Deans/Directors after consultation with the Director of the School.

For tenured and tenure-track faculty, the greatest consideration for merit increase is given to the research component of the faculty assignment. Assessment of research accomplishments is centered on the amount and quality of scholarly research published in well-respected outlets (as outlined earlier in the POA), citations to one's work, and generation of significant grant support for research.

Teaching and service, while expected, are factored in especially if there are exceptional strengths (e.g., winning a university teaching award; winning a national award in a journalism/communication organization; elected to high office in a national organization) or weaknesses in these two components of the position. For clinical faculty, merit increases will be based on consideration of their teaching records and their service contributions.

For all faculty members, teaching contribution is assessed by a variety of criteria such as formal student evaluations (SEIs), peer reviews of instructional substance (e.g., quality of syllabi, materials and assignments, etc.) and process (e.g., enrollment figures, dropout rates, classroom visitation, engagement of students, etc.), importance of the course to the School's graduate and undergraduate programs and so forth. Attention also is paid to supervision of high quality dissertations, masters and honors theses, and scholarly papers and presentations by students.

Assessment of service includes a judgment of the extent of effort, accomplishment and value to the School, and includes whether one's professional expertise is devoted to a task within the School, the university, the state of Ohio, the nation and in professional organizations. Exceptional service (e.g., Director of Graduate Studies, Director of Undergraduate Studies) may be rewarded with a course reduction or summer support. Such reductions need approval from the college administration.

B. Procedures

Each year, faculty members are required to complete an annual review request from the School Director, which includes a request for an updated CV and an annual activity report.

C. Documentation

The documentation is the same as that which is submitted for the annual review

VII. Promotion and Promotion and Tenure Reviews

A. Criteria

1. Promotion to Rank of Associate Professor with Tenure

According to **Faculty Rule 3335-6-02 (D)**: *In evaluating the candidate's qualifications in teaching, scholarship, and service, reasonable flexibility shall be exercised, balancing, when the case requires, heavier commitments and responsibilities in one area against lighter commitments and responsibilities in another. In addition, as the university enters new fields of endeavor... instances will arise in which the proper work of faculty members may depart from established academic patterns. In such cases care must be taken to apply to criteria with sufficient flexibility. In all instances superior intellectual attainment, in accordance with the criteria set forth in these rules, is an essential qualification for promotion to tenured positions. Clearly, insistence upon this standard for continuing members of the faculty is necessary for maintenance and enhancement of the quality of the university as an institution dedicated to the discovery and transmission of knowledge.*

According to **Faculty Rule 3335-6-02 (C)**: *The awarding of tenure and promotion to the rank of associate professor must be based on convincing evidence that the faculty member has achieved excellence as a teacher, as a scholar, and as one who provides effective service; and can be expected to continue a program of high quality teaching, scholarship, and service relevant to the mission of the academic unit(s) to which the faculty member is assigned and to the university.*

It is expected that each candidate will exhibit substantial strength in research, teaching and service within the context of the above university rules and the mission of the School. The record in all three areas also must be such that it inspires strong confidence of continued professional growth and productivity in ways relevant to future directions of the School.

Excellence in research means attainment of measurable national or international recognition based on an appropriate amount and rate of high-quality scholarly research. This excellence typically will be demonstrated through publication in communication and related fields in professional journals and scholarly books, presentation of scholarly papers at professional meetings, research grants, and

recognition among other scholars in the field (as evidenced in citations and external evaluations) and the School. Success in these areas is fundamental to positive tenure and promotion decisions. The outlets may vary; however, junior faculty are expected to publish regularly in well-respected outlets (e.g., refereed journals) in the discipline and in their areas of disciplinary specialization.

Original works producing new knowledge in top refereed journals are the most highly valued of all research accomplishments in this stage of a faculty member's career. Qualities typically looked for when assessing the candidate's package include, but are not limited to, scholarly independence, amount of research in the pipeline, quality of research articles, citation rate and future potential.

Excellence in teaching means providing to all students the opportunity to realize their full capabilities for learning in communication and providing to the most capable and motivated students an enhanced learning experience. Excellence in teaching is demonstrated through student evaluations, peer reviews of instructional substance (e.g., syllabi, materials and assignments, feedback on assignments and exams) and process (e.g., enrollment figures, dropout rates), importance of the courses taught to the School's graduate and undergraduate programs and so forth. Attention is also paid to supervision of high quality dissertations, masters and honors theses, and scholarly papers and presentations by students.

Excellence in service means making available a high level of professional expertise and experience to the School, the university, the state of Ohio, and the profession. The amount of the service contribution during the probationary period of assistant professors is limited by design, but the quality of the service contribution must be evident. Evidence of service excellence is provided through peer evaluation, where peers have first-hand knowledge of service contributions, and through external letters or other external methods.

Promotion to associate professor with tenure in the School requires excellence in both scholarship and teaching; excellence in service is also highly desirable. The claim that promotion of the candidate will improve the overall scholarly quality and standing of the School or programmatic area needs to be supported.

See OAA Dossier Guidelines; Expectations for Assistant Professors Before Promotion to Associate Professor [Appendix A]; Publication and Citation Documentation [Appendix C]

2. Promotion to Rank of Professor

According to **Faculty Rule 3335-6-02 (C)**: *Promotion to the rank of professor must be based on convincing evidence that the faculty member has a sustained record of excellence in teaching; has produced a significant body of scholarship that is recognized nationally or internationally; and has demonstrated leadership in service.*

The School expects an individual ready for promotion to professor to be a role model for less senior faculty, for students and for the profession. While the individual seeking promotion should be assessed in relation to assigned responsibilities, exceptional performance in these responsibilities is required. Internal cases for promotion and external hires at that rank should be comparable to the quality of external candidates who could be hired.

Promotion to professor in the College of Arts and Sciences requires excellence in scholarship, teaching and service beyond that achieved prior to tenure. The record in all three areas also must be such that it inspires strong confidence of continued professional growth and productivity in ways relevant to future directions of the School. Excellence in scholarship means attainment of measurable national and international recognition based on an appropriate amount and rate of high quality published research. A successful candidate will have achieved national distinction as a scholar based on high-quality productivity and have an established an international reputation. The substantial probability that a high rate of quality scholarship will continue needs to be established. The claim that promotion of the candidate will improve the overall scholarly quality and standing of the School and priority area needs to be supported. Collaborative or interdisciplinary research may also be a consideration in recognizing excellence at this stage of an academic career. Efforts to obtain external support for research are normally expected of candidates for full professor, though the School and College recognize that availability of support varies by specialization. When applicable, success in significant grant generation is also an important consideration for promotion to rank of full professor.

Excellence in teaching means providing to all students the opportunity to realize their full capabilities for learning in the social and behavioral sciences and providing to the most capable and motivated students an enhanced learning experience. Excellence in service means providing a high level of professional expertise and experience to one or more publics – including the University, the Columbus community, the State of Ohio, the nation and professional organizations.

See OAA Dossier Guidelines; Expectations for Associate Professors Before Promotion to Full Professor [Appendix B]; Publication and Citation Documentation [Appendix C]

3. Regional Campus Faculty

Expectations for regional campus faculty differ somewhat from those for faculty on the Columbus campus. The primary mission of the regional campuses is to provide high quality undergraduate instruction and to serve the academic needs of their communities. The relative emphasis on teaching and service expected of regional campus faculty will, therefore, ordinarily be greater. While the School expects regional college faculty to establish a program of high-quality scholarship and publication, it recognizes that greater teaching and service commitments and less access to research resources for regional campus faculty require difference research

expectations. In general, although regional faculty are not expected to have a research output that is as high as that for Columbus faculty for promotion purposes, the overall quality of this research is expected to be the same.

See OAA Dossier Guidelines; Expectations for Assistant Professors Before Promotion to Associate Professor [Appendix A]; Expectations for Associate Professors Before Promotion to Full Professor [Appendix B]; Publication and Citation Documentation [Appendix C]

4. Clinical Faculty

Promotion to Clinical Associate Professor in the School of Communication requires a PhD in the area of expertise and a sustained record of excellence in clinical teaching and in service. Excellence in clinical teaching refers to providing to all students the opportunity to realize their full capabilities for learning in practice-oriented courses, and providing to the most capable and motivated students an enhanced learning experience. The record in these two areas also must be such that it inspires strong confidence of continued professional growth and productivity in ways relevant to future directions of the School. The claim that promotion of the candidate will improve the overall quality and standing of the school and program area needs to be supported. Internal cases for promotion and external hires at this rank should be equally strong.

Excellence in clinical teaching is demonstrated through student evaluations and peer reviews of instructional substance (e.g., syllabi, materials and assignments, feedback on assignments and exams) and process (e.g., enrollment figures, dropout rates).

Excellence in clinical service means making available a high level of professional expertise and experience to one or more publics -- including the university, the Columbus community, the State of Ohio, and professional organizations, as well as on the national level. Evidence of service excellence is provided not only through the individual's record of offices held and organizational involvement but also through peer evaluation, where peers may be faculty members, collaborators, or others who have first-hand knowledge of service contributions.

There is no mandatory time-frame for promoting clinical assistant professors. Promotion to clinical associate professor is neither automatic nor to be expected in all cases.

Promotion to Clinical Professor in the School of Communication requires a PhD in the field of expertise and a sustained record of exceptional performance in clinical teaching and service beyond that achieved at the clinical associate professor level. The record in these two areas also must be such that it inspires strong confidence of continued professional growth and productivity in ways relevant to future directions of the School. The School expects that individuals ready for promotion to clinical

professor will be role models for less senior instructors, the students, and for the profession. Internal cases for promotion and external hires at this rank should be equally strong.

Outstanding clinical teaching includes an international reputation in the area of expertise which has been formed through teaching workshops, books and articles that demonstrate leadership in teaching in addition to university/industry/organizational awards. Student evaluations and peer reviews of instructional substance (e.g., syllabi, materials and assignments, feedback on assignments and exams) and process (e.g., enrollment figures, dropout rates) must indicate an outstanding teacher.

Outstanding performance in clinical service includes making available a high level of professional expertise and experience to one or more publics -- including the university, the Columbus community, the state of Ohio, and professional organizations, as well as on the national and global level. Evidence of service excellence is provided not only through the individual's record of offices held and organizational involvement but also through peer evaluation, where peers may be faculty members, collaborators, or others who have first-hand knowledge of service contributions. In addition, individuals who are considered for clinical professor should have demonstrated exceptional strengths in service, as evidenced through high office in national organizations.

Appointment to full clinical professor involves additional responsibility and privilege. Professors should be significantly engaged in charting the direction of the School and carrying a significant administrative load. Evidence of willingness and ability to participate constructively in School administration is also a consideration in appointment to full clinical professor.

There is no mandatory time-frame for promoting clinical associate professors. Promotion to clinical professor is neither automatic nor to be expected in all cases.

B. Procedures

The school's procedures for promotion and tenure and promotion reviews are fully consistent with those set forth in Faculty Rule 3335-6-04 (<http://trustees.osu.edu>) and the Office Academic Affairs annually updated procedural guidelines for promotion and tenure reviews found in Volume 3 of the Policies and Procedures Handbook (<http://oaa.osu.edu/handbook.html>). The following sections, which state the responsibilities of each party to the review process, apply to all faculty in the school.

1. Candidate Responsibilities

The responsibilities of the candidate are as follows:

- To submit a complete, accurate dossier fully consistent with Office of Academic Affairs guidelines. Candidates should not sign the Office of Academic Affairs Candidate Checklist without ascertaining that they have fully met the requirements set forth in the Office of Academic Affairs core dossier outline including, but not limited to, those highlighted on the checklist.

- To submit a copy of the school's APT Document that was in effect at the time of the candidate's hire or when the candidate was last promoted, whichever is more recent, if s/he wishes to be reviewed under that document's criteria and procedures. This must be submitted when the dossier is submitted to the school.
- To review the list of potential external evaluators developed by the school director and the Promotion and Tenure Committee. The candidate may add no more than three additional names, but is not required to do so. The candidate may request the removal of no more than two names, providing the reasons for the request. The school director decides whether removal is justified. (Also see External Evaluations below.)

2. Promotion and Tenure Committee Responsibilities

The responsibilities of the Promotion and Tenure Committee are as follows:

- To review this document annually and to recommend proposed revisions to the faculty.
- To consider annually, in spring semester, requests from faculty members seeking a non-mandatory review in the following academic year and to decide whether it is appropriate for such a review to take place. Only professors on the committee may consider promotion review requests to the rank of professor. A two-thirds majority of those eligible to vote on a request must vote affirmatively for the review to proceed.
 - The committee bases its decision on assessment of the record as presented in the faculty member's CV and on a determination of the availability of all required documentation for a full review (student and peer evaluations of teaching). Lack of the required documentation is necessary and sufficient grounds on which to deny a non-mandatory review.
 - A tenured faculty member may only be denied a formal promotion review under Faculty Rule 3335-6-04 (<http://trustees.osu.edu>) for one year. If the denial is based on lack of required documentation and the faculty member insists that the review go forward in the following year despite incomplete documentation, the individual should be advised that such a review is unlikely to be successful.
 - Consistent with Office of Academic Affairs policy, only faculty members who are citizens or permanent residents of the United States may be considered for non-mandatory tenure review. The committee must confirm with the school director that an untenured faculty

member seeking non-mandatory tenure review is a U.S. citizen or permanent resident (has a "green card"). Faculty members not eligible for tenure due to lack of citizenship or permanent residency are moreover not considered for promotion by this school.

- A decision by the committee to permit a review to take place in no way commits the eligible faculty, the school director, or any other party to the review to making a positive recommendation during the review itself.
- Annually, in late spring through early autumn semester, to provide administrative support for the promotion and tenure review process as described below.
 - **Late Spring:** Select from among its members a Procedures Oversight Designee who will serve in this role for the following year. The Procedures Oversight Designee cannot be the same individual who chairs the committee. The Procedures Oversight Designee's responsibilities are described in the Office of Academic Affairs annual procedural guidelines.
 - Suggest names of external evaluators to the school director.
 - **Early Autumn:** Review candidates' dossiers for completeness, accuracy (including citations), and consistency with Office of Academic Affairs requirements; and work with candidates to assure that needed revisions are made in the dossier before the formal review process begins.
 - Meet with each candidate for clarification as necessary and to provide the candidate an opportunity to comment on his or her dossier. This meeting is not an occasion to debate the candidate's record.
 - Draft an analysis of the candidate's performance in teaching, scholarship and service to provide to the full eligible faculty with the dossier; and seek to clarify any inconsistent evidence in the case, where possible. The committee neither votes on cases nor takes a position in presenting its analysis of the record.
 - Revise the draft analysis of each case following the faculty meeting, to include the faculty vote and a summary of the faculty perspectives expressed during the meeting; and forward the completed written evaluation and recommendation to the school director.
 - Provide a written response, on behalf of the eligible faculty, to any candidate comments that warrant response, for inclusion in the dossier.

- Provide a written evaluation and recommendation to the school director in the case of joint appointees whose tenure-initiating unit is another department. The full eligible faculty does not vote on these cases since the school's recommendation must be provided to the other tenure-initiating unit substantially earlier than the committee begins meeting on this school's cases.

3. Eligible Faculty Responsibilities

The responsibilities of the members of the eligible faculty are as follows:

- To review thoroughly and objectively every candidate's dossier in advance of the meeting at which the candidate's case will be discussed.
- To attend all eligible faculty meetings except when circumstances beyond one's control prevent attendance; to participate in discussion of every case; and to vote.

4. School Director Responsibilities

The responsibilities of the school director are as follows:

- Where relevant, to verify the prospective candidate's residency status. Faculty members who are neither citizens nor permanent residents of the United States may not undergo a non-mandatory review for tenure, and tenure will not be awarded as the result of a mandatory review until permanent residency status is established. Faculty members not eligible for tenure due to lack of citizenship or permanent residency are moreover not considered for promotion by this school.
- **Late Spring Semester:** To solicit external evaluations from a list including names suggested by the Promotion and Tenure Committee, the chair and the candidate. (Also see External Evaluations below.)
- To make adequate copies of each candidate's dossier available in an accessible place for review by the eligible faculty at least two weeks before the meeting at which specific cases are to be discussed and voted.
- To remove any member of the eligible faculty from the review of a candidate when the member has a conflict of interest but does not voluntarily withdraw from the review.
- To attend the meetings of the eligible faculty at which promotion and tenure matters are discussed and respond to questions raised during the meeting.

- **Mid-Autumn Semester:** To provide an independent written evaluation and recommendation for each candidate, following receipt of the eligible faculty's completed evaluation and recommendation.
- To meet with the eligible faculty to explain any recommendations contrary to the recommendation of the committee.
- To inform each candidate in writing after completion of the school review process:
 - of the recommendations by the eligible faculty and school director
 - of the availability for review of the written evaluations by the eligible faculty and school director
 - of the opportunity to submit written comments on the above material, within ten days from receipt of the letter from the school director, for inclusion in the dossier. The letter is accompanied by a form that the candidate returns to the school director, indicating whether or not he or she expects to submit comments.
- To provide a written response to any candidate comments that warrants response for inclusion in the dossier.
- To forward the completed dossier to the college office by that office's deadline, except in the case of associated faculty for whom the school director recommends against promotion. A negative recommendation by the school director is final in such cases.
- To receive the Promotion and Tenure Committee's written evaluation and recommendation of candidates who are joint appointees from other tenure-initiating units, and to forward this material, along with the school director's independent written evaluation and recommendation, to the chair of the other tenure-initiating unit by the date requested.

5. Procedures for Regional Campus Faculty

Regional campus faculty are first reviewed by the regional campus faculty according to the process established on that campus and then by the regional campus dean/director. The regional campus review focuses on teaching and service.

The regional campus dean/director forwards the written evaluation and recommendation of the regional campus review to the school director, from which point the review follows the procedures described for the Columbus campus faculty.

6. External Evaluations

External evaluations of scholarly activity and research are obtained for all promotion reviews in which scholarship must be assessed. These include all tenure-track promotion and tenure or promotion reviews, all research appointment contract renewals and promotion reviews, and all adjunct faculty promotion reviews. External evaluations of scholarly activity and research are not obtained for clinical faculty unless the faculty member has been involved in a significant amount of scholarship. The decision to seek external evaluations for a clinical faculty member will be made by the school director after consulting with the candidate and the chair of the Promotion and Tenure Committee.

A minimum of five credible and useful evaluations must be obtained. A credible and useful evaluation:

- Is written by a person highly qualified to judge the candidate's scholarship (or other performance, if relevant) who can give an “arms’ length” evaluation of the research record and is not a close personal friend, research collaborator, or former academic advisor or post-doctoral mentor of the candidate. Qualifications are generally judged on the basis of the evaluator's expertise, record of accomplishments, and institutional affiliation. This school will only solicit evaluations from full professors at institutions comparable to Ohio State. In the case of an assistant professor seeking promotion to associate professor with tenure, a minority of the evaluations may come from associate professors.
- Provides sufficient analysis of the candidate's performance to add information to the review. A letter's usefulness is defined as the extent to which the letter is analytical as opposed to perfunctory. Under no circumstances will “usefulness” be defined by the perspective taken by an evaluator on the merits of the case.

Because the school cannot control who agrees to write and or the usefulness of the letters received, more letters are sought than are required, and they are solicited no later than the end of the spring semester prior to the review year. This timing allows additional letters to be requested should fewer than five useful letters result from the first round of requests.

As described above, a list of potential evaluators is assembled by the Promotion and Tenure Committee, the school director, and the candidate. If the evaluators suggested by the candidate meet the criteria for credibility, a letter is requested from at least one of those persons. Faculty Rule 3335-6-04 (<http://trustees.osu.edu>) requires that no more than half the external evaluation letters in the dossier be written by persons suggested by the candidate. In the event that the person(s) suggested by the candidate do not agree to write, neither the Office of Academic Affairs nor this school requires that the dossier contain letters from evaluators suggested by the candidate.

The school follows the Office of Academic Affairs suggested format, provided at <http://oaa.osu.edu/sampledocuments.html>, for letters requesting external evaluations.

Under no circumstances may a candidate solicit external evaluations or initiate contact in any way with external evaluators for any purpose related to the promotion review. If an external evaluator should initiate contact with the candidate regarding the review, the candidate must inform the evaluator that such communication is inappropriate and report the occurrence to the school director, who will decide what, if any, action is warranted (requesting permission from the Office of Academic Affairs to exclude that letter from the dossier). It is in the candidate's self-interest to assure that there is no ethical or procedural lapse, or the appearance of such a lapse, in the course of the review process.

All solicited external evaluation letters that are received must be included in the dossier. If concerns arise about any of the letters received, these concerns may be addressed in the school's written evaluations or brought to the attention of the Office of Academic Affairs for advice.

C. Documentation

As noted above under Candidate Responsibilities, every candidate must submit a complete and accurate dossier that follows the Office of Academic Affairs dossier outline. While the Promotion and Tenure Committee makes reasonable efforts to check the dossier for accuracy and completeness, the candidate bears full responsibility for all parts of the dossier that are to be completed by the candidate.

The complete dossier, including the documentation of teaching noted in bold below, is forwarded when the review moves beyond the school. The documentation of scholarship and service noted below is for use during the school review only, unless reviewers at the college and university levels specifically request it.

- Any published materials presented for consideration should be in the form of reprints, photocopies of journal articles, or other final form that documents actual publication. An author's manuscript does not document publication.
- Under no circumstances should faculty solicit evaluations from any party for purposes of the review.

1. Teaching

The time period for material included in the dossier for probationary faculty is the date of hire to present. For tenured or nonprobationary faculty it is the date of last promotion or the last five years, whichever is less, to present. Examples of documentation include:

- cumulative eSEI reports (Student Evaluation of Instruction computer-generated summaries prepared by the Office of the University Registrar) for every class
- peer evaluation of teaching reports as required by the school's peer evaluation of teaching program (details, including number, provided in Section X below)
- Copies of pedagogical papers, books or other materials published, or accepted for publication. Material accepted for publication but not yet published must be accompanied by a letter from the publisher stating that the work has been unequivocally accepted and is in final form with no further revisions needed.

- teaching activities as listed in the core dossier, including
 - involvement in graduate/professional exams, theses, and dissertations, and undergraduate research
 - mentoring postdoctoral scholars and researchers
 - extension and continuing education instruction
 - involvement in curriculum development
 - awards and formal recognition of teaching presentations on pedagogy and teaching at national and international conferences
 - adoption of teaching materials at other colleges or universities
 - other relevant documentation of teaching as appropriate

2. Scholarship

The time period for material included in the dossier for probationary faculty is the date of hire to present. For tenured or nonprobationary faculty it is the date of last promotion to present. Examples of documentation include:

- Copies of all books, articles, and scholarly papers published or accepted for publication. Papers accepted for publication but not yet published must be accompanied by a letter from the publisher stating that the paper has been unequivocally accepted and is in final form, with no further revisions needed.
- documentation of grants and contracts received
- other relevant documentation of research as appropriate (published reviews including publications where one's work is favorably cited, grants and contract proposals that have been submitted)
- scholarship activities as listed in the core dossier including documentation of creative works pertinent to the candidate's professional focus including artwork, choreography, collections, compositions, curated exhibits, moving images, multimedia, performances, radio, recitals, recordings, television, and websites
- documentation of inventions, patents, disclosures, options and commercial licenses
- list of prizes and awards for research, scholarly, or creative work

3. Service

The time period for material included in the dossier for probationary faculty is the date of hire to present. For tenured or nonprobationary faculty it is the date of last promotion to present. Examples of documentation include:

- service activities as listed in the core dossier including
 - involvement with professional journals and professional societies
 - consultation activity with industry, education, or government
 - clinical services
 - administrative service to school
 - administrative service to college
 - administrative service to university and Student Life
 - advising to student groups and organizations
 - awards and prizes for service to profession, university, or school
- any available documentation (e.g. letters from committee chairs) of the quality of service that enhances the list of service activities in the dossier

VIII. Appeals

*It is the policy of The Ohio State University to make decisions regarding the renewal of probationary appointments and promotion and tenure in accordance with the standards, criteria, policies, and procedures stated in these rules, supplemented by additional written standards, criteria, policies, and procedures established by tenure initiating units and colleges. If a candidate believes that a non-renewal decision or negative promotion and tenure decision has been made in violation of this policy and therefore alleges that it was made improperly, the candidate may appeal that decision. Procedures for appealing a decision based on an allegation of improper evaluation are described in **Rule 3335-5-05** of the Administrative Code. (Faculty Rule 3335-6-05(A)).*

IX. Seventh Year Reviews

Every effort should be made to consider new information about a candidate's performance before a final decision is made if the new information becomes available before a decision is rendered. In rare instances, a tenure initiating unit may petition the Executive Dean to conduct a seventh year review for an assistant professor who has been denied promotion and tenure. Both the eligible faculty of the unit and the (Director) must approve proceeding with a petition for a seventh year review. The petition must provide documentation of substantial new information regarding the candidate's performance that is germane to the reasons for the original negative decision. Petitions for seventh year reviews must be initiated before the beginning of the last year of employment because the seventh year review, if approved, would take place during the regular university review cycle of the assistant professor's seventh and last year of employment.

If the Executive Dean concurs with the tenure initiating unit's petition, the Executive Dean shall in turn petition the provost for permission to conduct a seventh year review. If the provost approves the request, a new review will be conducted equivalent to the one that resulted in the non-renewal of the appointment. The conduct of a seventh year review does not presume a positive outcome. In addition, should the new review result in a negative decision, the faculty member's last day of employment is that stated in the letter of nonrenewal issued following the original negative decision.

The tenure-track faculty member may not request a seventh year review, appeal the denial of a seventh year review petition initiated by his or her tenure initiating unit, or appeal a negative decision following a seventh year review, since the faculty member has already been notified that tenure has been denied at the conclusion of the sixth year review.
(Faculty Rule 3335-6-05(B))

X. Student and Peer Evaluation of Teaching

It is expected that all faculty will be responsible teachers, and among other things, their classes will meet regularly; they will remain up-to-date in course content; be available for weekly office hours; conduct teaching evaluations in a professional manner; and strive to perform as effective teachers.

The School employs multiple methods for reviewing teaching. These consist of a) Student Evaluation of Instruction (SEI) – occurring approximately four times per year, b) peer observations, and c) formal peer assessment, and d) annual reviews of teaching,

Additionally, if Eligible Faculty members or the Director have concerns or questions about aspects of teaching that appear to be problematic, any of the additional reviews, including peer observation (beyond the number required) may be recommended

Table 1. Teaching Review Requirements

	SEI	Peer Observation	Formal Evaluation of Methods and Materials	Annual Review of Teaching
Lecturer	Every course	1 in the year before promotion review	1 in the year before promotion review	Annually
Clinical Assistant	Every course	Every 2 years	Three times within first six years	Annually
Assistant Professor	Every course	Three before tenure review	Twice before tenure	Annually

			review	
Associate Professor	Every course	Every 2 years; 1 in the year before promotion review	Every 4 years; 1 in the year before promotion review	Annually
Full Professor	Every course		Every 4 years	Annually

1. Student Evaluation of Instruction (SEI).

Faculty rule 3335-3-35 requires students be given the opportunity to evaluate the quality of instruction provided in each of their courses, and accordingly, student opinions must be obtained in **every** formal course **every year**. Failure to evaluate every course will significantly affect performance reviews and merit pay.

In the School of Communication, SEIs are the primary tool used for evaluating student reactions to a professor’s teaching because they cover every course. All faculty in the School are expected to have their students use the electronic SEI teaching evaluation form for each course they teach during the year. Faculty may supplement, but may not replace, the evaluation instrument with their own. In addition, faculty may directly upload supplemental questions in accordance with SEI administrative deadlines and procedures for the inclusion of discursive comments. The university’s SEI administration will provide the SEI results to each faculty member.

Lecturers are required to provide both electronic SEI and open-ended evaluations for the first two years of their appointments. After the first two years, electronic SEIs are required, but open-ended are used on an “as needed” basis. The Chair of Communication Studies or Journalism Studies, in consultation and agreement with the Director, will decide when to employ open-ended evaluations after the first two years, although a faculty member may request them at any time.

SEI Teaching evaluations are to be conducted in this manner:

Non-tenure track faculty: Staff members will administer the open-ended student evaluations at a date and time convenient for both the instructor and staff member. Staff also will distribute a sheet to each student with the web address and instructions for completing the SEIs. Faculty members are not present in the room while evaluations are being conducted, and may not examine the evaluations until grades have been submitted.

Tenure-track Faculty: At the tenure-track faculty member’s request, staff members will provide blank open-ended student evaluation forms or professors may elect to enable comments over the electronic SEI system. These may be

used by the faculty member for self-diagnosis, but are not used in promotion and tenure decisions or annual reviews.

2. Peer Observations (Classroom Visits) are conducted by tenured faculty and will be scheduled as follows:

- a. Three times before a tenure review, untenured assistant professors will have their peer teaching observation for a representative course. Peer observations for clinical assistant professors will be conducted in the second year and every other year after that.
- b. Tenured faculty will have peer observations every two years and one in any year before seeking promotion. Clinical faculty seeking promotion will have observations occur as close as possible to the review for promotion. Because of scheduling issues, candidates for promotion need to notify the chair of the P&T Committee at least one year in advance of her or his intention to be considered for promotion.
- c. In cases where the Director discerns that a particular faculty member or lecturer is facing teaching difficulties, the Director may require peer observation of classroom teaching performance and/or recommend the use of the university's instructional training resources.

3. Formal Evaluation of Teaching Methods and Materials (untenured faculty and tenured faculty seeking promotion)

A formal evaluation of teaching methods and materials will take place twice before a tenure review. Assistant professors undergoing a formal evaluation of teaching will submit an explanation of the peer teaching methods (e.g., formal class meetings, online meetings, etc.), and the review materials (handouts, exams, etc.) for each course they have taught through the School prior to their first evaluation of teaching methods and materials. For any evaluation following the first evaluation, materials for all courses that have not been covered in a prior review should be included.

Formal peer evaluations of teaching methods and materials for clinical assistant professors will be conducted three times within the first six years as a clinical assistant professor, and every four years after that. There will be a minimum of 1 evaluation of teaching materials and methods within one year prior to a tenured faculty member's submission of materials for promotion to full professor or a clinical faculty member's request for promotion.

The materials to be submitted for each course offered during the period under review are:

- Course Objectives and Personal Assessment Form

- Narrative describing the contact hours within the course and how they were distributed (online versus in class, etc.)
- The Syllabus for each course offering
- All exams, written assignments and handouts for each course offering
- SEI reports from all courses taught at Ohio State (Cumulative SEI)

All peer teaching review materials will be due in electronic form to the main office on the same date as set by the School's director for submission of all annual review materials. The review committee will also have access to prior teaching review reports.

Procedures for conducting the peer review of teaching methods and materials are as follows: Two tenured faculty members ("reviewers") will be assigned to the assistant professor ("reviewee") being reviewed. Each reviewer will be given all the peer review materials submitted by the reviewee. The pair of reviewers will assess all peer teaching review materials separately and will then meet together to reach consensus judgments on the following criteria:

- Appropriateness of course objectives,
- Degree to which instructor's personal assessment criteria matches well with the stated course objectives and method of delivery,
- Degree to which classroom instruction, assignments, and new technology are utilized to meet the state course objectives,
- Currency of readings,
- Consistency of assignments, examinations and course objectives,
- Syllabus construction and clarity
- Rigor of course requirements, and
- Student reaction and evaluation.

The focus of peer reviews is on assessing teaching quality and making suggestions for improvement. A single memo summarizing findings of this evaluation, and any suggestions for improving teaching, will be crafted by the pair of reviewers and provided to the reviewee, the eligible faculty, and the Director. The eligible faculty members' discussion of the candidate's teaching performance will also be summarized and included in annual review letters.

4. Annual Reviews of Teaching.

Assistant, clinical, and associate professors can expect their overall teaching performance to be assessed by the relevant eligible faculty as part of the annual review process. The eligible faculty of the Promotion and Tenure Committee shares their assessment with the Director and this information forms that basis for the teaching section of the annual review letters. SEIs and Peer Observations, as well as the Formal Peer Evaluation report, are included and referenced within the annual reviews of teaching. Additionally, where applicable, aspects of graduate

teaching in non-formal settings, such as research collaboration, mentoring, and guidance are addressed annually. The annual reviews take account of the abilities, strengths and weaknesses of each faculty member, and also comment on the teaching trajectory, anomalies, or particularly stellar achievements. The annual review also serves to aid the director in determining course load and teaching quality in assessing performance. If Eligible Faculty members or the Director have concerns or questions about aspects of teaching that appear to be problematic, additional aspects of teaching assessment may be recommended.

This promotion and tenure document is subject to continuing revision. It must be reviewed and either revised or reaffirmed on appointment or reappointment of the School Director. It is very desirable for the Director and faculty to reach consensus on the document, although formal faculty acceptance of the document is not required. Where divisions in the School make consensus or formal faculty approval impossible the Director may have to implement a pattern without consensus. Revisions may be made at any time. Changes which will be made in consultation with the School faculty until sufficient changes have accumulated to warrant printing and distributing a new document.

APPENDIX A
Expectations for Assistant Professors before Promotion to Associate Professor
School of Communication

Research

It is probably impossible to over-emphasize the importance of a very strong research record. You need to think seriously and continuously about how well you are demonstrating research productivity, not only in terms of quantity of publications but also in terms of quality, likely impact, continuity, and intellectual independence. One criterion that will be used as an indicator or proxy in evaluating quality is the placement of your publications. For probationary faculty trying to establish themselves in the field, writing book chapters in edited volumes is generally not going to be the best strategy. Other things being equal, refereed journal articles are more likely to have an impact than are book chapters in edited volumes. Such volumes often are delayed in coming into existence for various reasons, and few are likely to have as large an audience as highly regarded general and specialty journals.

Placement. In thinking about placement of journal articles, you should take into account how journals vary in terms of reputation and likely impact. Given equal quality and innovativeness, you are more likely to be read and to influence other work if you publish your research findings in journals that are well-known, accessible, and well-regarded. The Journal Citation Reports (within Web of Science) is one widely consulted source of information about the relative standing of various journals, and it is worthwhile consulting this index in addition to talking to more senior scholars in the School as you think about where to submit your work for publication. Assistant professors need to carefully balance the effort that they devote to various publications. Textbook writing is often considered to be mostly a contribution to teaching, not to scholarship, and so we discourage assistant professors from spending their time writing textbooks. In addition, editing a book is typically an activity we consider to be most appropriate for a tenured faculty member.

Continuity. Continuity of research productivity is two-dimensional, referring both to continuity in terms of a continuous flow of research activity and publications and a flow of connected ideas. Regarding the first, the research review process and its “revise and resubmit” demands take time, and it is not unusual for there to be some initial lag before a new assistant professor can establish a flow of publications. However, a very long delay in beginning to contribute to the field, or large gaps in research activity and publications, are worrisome. Sometimes this kind of pattern is produced when a young scholar is trying to work simultaneously on too many separate projects, or carves out new papers for presentation at annual professional meetings rather than revising and resubmitting, or submitting to an alternative journal. The danger is that one can have multiple manuscripts all at the same stage with little progress on each without bringing any one to completion. You need to avoid creating a trail of presentations that never make their way into publication or a list of manuscripts that remain in progress year after year.

The second dimension of continuity refers to the overall theoretical coherence or connectedness of the total set or sequence of research activities that you undertake. A series of papers that build on one another can have a cumulative impact greater than an assortment of papers on unrelated

topics. Researchers may construct a programmatic research agenda in various ways, but in every case, you (and others) should be able to discern an identifiable research agenda that makes sense of the work you are doing.

Intellectual independence. Early on, an assistant professor needs to demonstrate a capability for independent scholarship and publication. There is no question that collaborative research is highly valuable. However, if your activities and publications are frequently connected to others, (particularly with dissertation advisors or mentors from the institution where you received your Ph.D.), those reading your work are likely to raise questions about your unique contribution, even in cases in which you are the lead author. In addition, not having a convincing record of sole-authored and first-authored publications in flagship and other strong journals is almost guaranteed to raise concerns about intellectual independence and record quality.

If you do collaborative work, you need to think about constructing some judicious mixture of sole-authored and collaborative publications, and you need to think seriously about and be able to articulate to others the nature of the division of labor and distribution of responsibilities among the various authors of each piece. As the Eligible Faculty has emphasized, although collaboration is important, assistant professors need to show evidence of movement away from their dissertation advisors and other senior scholars.

In summary, the assistant professor's portfolio of research should be viewed as a package. Ideally, there will evidence of solo, senior and junior-authored work. And, while it is expected and acceptable to publish with senior colleagues, there needs to be evidence of independence through solo-authored work and work with peers and graduate students. There also needs to be evidence of a quality research program; one that is theoretically coherent and programmatic as well as published in highly regarded outlets in the discipline and, when relevant, in other disciplines.

Teaching and Training

We value your classroom teaching and your mentoring and guidance of graduate students. It is critically important that your undergraduate and undergraduate teaching be of good quality. And, although it is important to work with graduate students, it is wise to exercise caution about the total number of graduate students you supervise and committees on which you serve. A good rule of thumb is to think of an upper limit or absolute maximum as being a member of three committees that are in progress simultaneously and being the major thesis advisor for less than three students at a time. This total of three committees should be thought of as a maximum that you should not exceed, not an expected number you should try to reach.

In summary, take your teaching responsibilities seriously and take pride in becoming an effective and competent instructor to both graduate and undergraduate students.

Service

Service commitments and responsibilities should rise steadily during the pre-tenure years. While service expectations for untenured assistant professors are substantially less than they are for tenured faculty, it is important for such faculty to demonstrate their commitment to the profession, the School, and the University as well as to the Field.

Expectations of School Service. You are encouraged to self-nominate or, if someone else nominates you, run for election to School committees. Additionally, you can make your interests known to the director, who can keep you in mind for an appointed membership to a particular committee. Most of our assistant professors are members of at least one School committee each year (with the exception of the first year at Ohio State). There may be times in which you are not an official member of any single committee. However, even in those years, there are numerous opportunities to participate in faculty governance and development at the School level. Being a good citizen of the School includes involvement in the work that needs to be done.

Expectations of Service to the Field of Communication. Untenured assistant professors are strongly encouraged to actively engage in reviewing manuscript submissions to journals. We also typically see untenured faculty members reviewing for at least one division of a major organization (ICA, NCA or AEJMC) each year or engaging in other equivalent professional organization service very early in their careers. It is common for our assistant professors to review 2-4 journal articles per year on average. We suggest that assistant professors accept invitations to review in their areas of expertise and for an ISI journal whenever possible. By tenure, or even by 4th year review, it's not uncommon for assistant professors to be included on an editorial board of a major journal. Editorial board service on strong journals is looked on favorably at the School and College level.

College/University/State of Ohio Service. Typically, opportunities for this type of service are through invited lecture(s) in other programs, serving as a graduate faculty representative, or serving on committees that overlap with your research or teaching interests. There sometimes are opportunities to speak to groups or organizations off-campus (in the Columbus area or elsewhere in the state). Finally, at the local and national level, there are occasionally opportunities for discussing your research with representatives of the news media who believe your insights/expertise will be of interest to their readers or viewers. All of these opportunities offer a chance to demonstrate your service commitment to Ohio State and the School.

In summary, maximize your contributions to the field by doing excellent work and publishing in visible outlets where the research can affect the thinking of others. Take your teaching responsibilities seriously so that your students are known to be among the strongest and the best.

Be involved and contribute in positive ways to the School, the University and the discipline and take your service responsibilities seriously.

APPENDIX B

Expectations for Associate Professors before Promotion to Full Professor School of Communication

In accordance with university code (3335-6-02), "promotion to the rank of professor must be based on convincing evidence that the faculty member has a sustained record of excellence in teaching; has produced a significant body of scholarship that is recognized nationally or internationally; and has demonstrated leadership in service." Promotion from associate professor to professor, then, is recognition of distinguished research, teaching and service. To be promoted to professor, during the years of associate professor the candidate must have consistently met the criteria applicable to his or her promotion to associate professor and the awarding of tenure. The candidate must have made or clearly demonstrated the ability to make a significant contribution to the stature of the University. He or she must have achieved a distinguished reputation as an outstanding and productive scholar in the field. Because the title of associate professor is itself an indication of distinction; promotion to professor is neither automatic nor to be expected in all cases.

The School and university use a number of indicators for gauging excellence in these areas.

Research

Associate professors are expected to think seriously and continuously about how well they are demonstrating research productivity, not only in terms of quantity of publications but also in terms of quality, likely impact and continuity. Associate professors should concentrate their efforts on producing high quality publications that make an impact on the field. This production should consider both quality of placement and quality of research produced.

The single most important criterion for excellence in research is obtained through other professors' reading of the research articles produced since tenure. At OSU, we rely on a reading by all of the full professors in the School as well as the reading of other full professors in Communication and allied fields from around the world. The research should provide evidence of a very high quality and sustained productivity since tenure.

Appointment as full professor is preceded by national and/or international recognition as a leading scholar in our field, with a programmatic body of research and scholarship that demonstrates continued development of theory, substantive/policy implications, and/or methodological competence significantly beyond that characterized by work that had been completed by the time of tenure. There should also be evidence of momentum such that it leads the University to expect such productivity and intellectual impact will continue for many years to come. Appointments to the rank of full professor must also improve the overall scholarly quality and standing of the unit.

The quantity of published articles or books alone does not demonstrate the intellectual impact of a scholar on a field. The importance of research in any form is a function of its intellectual originality and merit, as well as its reception by peers. A scholar's citation impact and trajectory

also are important measures of scholarly influence and standing, and are part of the consideration process for promotion.

Textbook writing is considered a contribution to teaching, not to scholarship. Editing books is an acceptable and appropriate activity for a tenured faculty member, but this activity should not be considered a substitute for publication of original research.

Placement

Given equal quality and innovativeness, research published in journals that are well-known, accessible, and well-regarded is more likely to be read and to influence other work. Publication in flagship and high-level journals are important indicators of research quality.

Continuity

Continuity of research productivity is two-dimensional, referring both to continuity in terms of a continuous flow of research activity and publications and a flow of connected ideas. Large gaps in research activity and publications are worrisome and will make a successful promotion case difficult, if not impossible. Just as in the pre-tenure years, associate professors need to avoid creating a trail of conference presentations that never make their way into publication or a list of manuscripts that remain in progress for multiple years.

The second dimension of continuity refers to the overall theoretical coherence or connectedness of the total set of research activities undertaken. Avoid a peripatetic research record that reflects the interests of graduate students or assistant professors more than of the candidate. It is always commendable to publish with graduate students, but the role of a professor is to mentor students and help them obtain intellectual independence. It is almost always more productive and indicative of a stronger research career to have students learn by working on an established research program than it is for the established professor to focus efforts on publications driven by the ideas of graduate students or others. In the former case, there is a developed and ongoing commitment, as well as a research tradition, that contributes to the candidate's record. In the latter case, the candidate's research record becomes spotty and driven by short bursts of creativity that tend to lack coherence. Additionally, the student or assistant professor will typically find fewer benefits in the latter scenario because the theory, methods and procedures are likely to be less developed. A series of papers that build on one another can have a cumulative impact greater than an assortment of papers on unrelated topics. Researchers may construct a programmatic research agenda in various ways, but in every case, one should be able to discern an identifiable research agenda.

Intellectual independence

There is no question that collaborative research is highly valuable and becomes even more valuable and valued after tenure. It will be important to demonstrate the ability to work with colleagues, graduate students and junior faculty on research projects. However, associate professors still need to make sure that there is strong evidence of independent research

contributions and should still be writing sole-authored and senior authored pieces in high quality outlets. It is best to continue to think about constructing a judicious mixture of sole-authored and collaborative publications. Another way to provide evidence of independent thought and unique contribution to the field is to produce a major scholarly work such as a scholarly monograph.

Evidence of policy-relevant research

If at all possible, the associate professor should explore the possibilities of producing fundable policy-relevant research (By policy-relevant research we mean research that has the potential to impact decision-making and resource allocation by government or quasi-governmental entities, or to otherwise directly impact society and the quality of life of Americans or people around the world). Some research areas are more conducive to generating external grants than others but it is wise for all to explore the possibilities and apply for external funding. Such external funding is an objective endorsement (via rigorous peer review in a highly competitive environment) of the importance of the faculty member's research program with respect to its potential for a larger impact on society. Past funding record and future potential to generate external funds are taken into consideration when determining whether someone should be promoted to full professor.

In summary, the promotion committee and the Director will always look for a coherent set of excellent publications in highly respected journals and will expect solid evidence of unique contributions, outstanding scholarly reputation and leadership in a sub-discipline of our field, and, when relevant, evidence that the individual has the potential to secure external grants.

Teaching and Training

Excellent classroom teaching as well as mentoring and guiding graduate students continue to be highly valued activities for associate and full professors. The average number of courses taught per year typically increases slightly after tenure, and associate professors are expected to maintain quality classroom teaching evaluations for graduate and undergraduate courses. Additionally, associate professors are encouraged to become involved in curriculum development, including aspects of course development and overall curriculum issues within the School. Supervising undergraduate honors students and their senior theses are appropriate and encouraged activities.

The graduate student load typically increases during the years subsequent to tenure. There may be increased evidence of ability to supervise graduate teaching and research assistants as well as additional collaboration with graduate students on research projects. These additional collaborations with graduate students bring added responsibility. Special care should be taken to make certain that graduate students are able to graduate on time, with high-quality theses and dissertations, and with a research/publication record that enables them to obtain positions at high-quality institutions.

There can be a tension between having a large number of graduate students and being able to direct enough attention to each of them. Therefore, it is wise to exercise caution about the total number of graduate students supervised and the amount of graduate committee involvement, to

find a workable balance between numbers and quality/amount of attention. Similarly, faculty with areas of specialization that are less likely to invite large numbers of advisees may be well-advised to balance this through greater service on graduate committees and other contributions to the program. In the end, the faculty member is responsible for his or her record with graduate students, and this record will include their number, the quality of their work, their placement at research-oriented institutions, and the timeliness of completion of their degrees. All of these factors are considerations in the faculty member's teaching record.

Service

After tenure, it is expected that faculty members will take on increasingly important service roles in the School and University as well as to the Field. These roles can include serving on School and University committees, serving in leadership roles on these committees or providing solicited or even unsolicited help on any variety of activities, especially in regard to tenure and promotion reviews, curricular design or implementation, and other areas that are important to school, college or university functions.

Expectation of School Service. During associate professor years, it is critical to be a conscientious and dependable member of School committees, and to serve as a positive role model and mentor for junior faculty. Full professors are deeply engaged in helping chart the direction of the School and bearing much of the administrative load. Because appointment to full professor involves these additional responsibilities, evidence of the willingness and ability to participate constructively in School administration is also a consideration in appointment to full professor.

Associate professors are encouraged to run for election to School committees. Additionally, they should make their interests known to the director, who can appoint faculty members to certain committees. Generally speaking, most of our associate professors are members of at least one School committee each year. There are numerous additional opportunities to participate in faculty governance and development at the School level. Being a good citizen of the School includes involvement in the work that needs to be done. The Eligible Faculty, consisting of all tenured faculty members of the School, offers an opportunity to help out in School governance, and there is often need for help in teaching reviews and observations as well as developing reports on research. Contributions in these areas are always appreciated and demonstrate a commitment to the School and its faculty.

Expectations of Service to the Field of Communication. Leadership roles in the discipline also are important and can include reviewing journal articles, serving as an editorial board member, and serving in key leadership roles for organizations or organizational divisions.

Associate professors are strongly encouraged to actively engage in reviewing manuscript submissions to journals and becoming active on editorial boards. We suggest that associate professors accept invitations to editorial boards for ISI journals whenever possible. Editorial board service on strong journals is looked on favorably at the School and College level. Additionally, we expect associate professors to assume leadership roles in our national

organizations (ICA, NCA, and AEJMC) to the extent possible. These include being a division head, serving on or leading an organizational committee, or assuming higher offices.

College/University/State of Ohio Service. It also is important to serve the University in any number of service roles. Associate professors often have opportunities to participate on College or University committees, the university senate or other deliberative body, or may be invited to participate on ad hoc panels or committees investigating a potential policy change or the implications of outside forces on OSU governance. Additionally, there are sometimes opportunities to speak to groups or organizations off-campus (in the Columbus area or elsewhere in the state) when their interests or needs intersect with your teaching and research. At the local and national level, there are occasionally opportunities for discussing your research with representatives of the news media who believe your insights/expertise will be of interest to their readers or viewers. These responsibilities are over and above those of serving as a graduate faculty representative, or serving on committees that overlap with your research or teaching interests. We encourage your participation in those activities and opportunities, as they speak to your expertise and willingness to help the University or State of Ohio.

In summary, the years as associate professor should provide evidence of one's contributions to the School, the university and the field. Key considerations in promotion will include assessment of excellence in research, teaching and service, and provide evidence for the likelihood of continued strong, or even stronger, contributions for the future.

APPENDIX C

Mentoring Guidelines

The School of Communication employs a formalized mentoring system. Any assistant or associate professor may request a full professor to serve as a mentor. In our system, all assistant professors, shortly after they begin employment, are **required** to put in writing in a memo to the Director whether or not they desire a mentor, and that mentor's name (if one is desired). The assistant professors should ask their designated mentor(s) if he/she is willing to serve in this capacity. This decision must be made by the second full semester of appointment. The School strongly discourages assistant professors from selecting more than two mentors. Some faculty may not be able to serve a mentoring role if they are overextended.

The mentor's purpose is not to serve as an advocate for the mentee, but rather as a resource for questions concerning research, teaching or service. As a member of the tenured faculty, a mentor's first obligation is to the School. Mentors are not included on the mentee's P&T Committee. The mentor is not an advisor or an advocate for the mentee.

During the annual review process, mentors sometimes provide clarifying information to the promotion and tenure committee when particular issues come up related to teaching, research or service. Detailed knowledge of a mentee's struggles or accomplishments may unduly influence an objective assessment if the mentor develops a strong relationship with a mentee. If a mentor steps beyond informational discussion during an evaluation, the Director or the Chair of the Committee of Eligible Faculty, or the POD may suggest the mentor recuse him/her self during the evaluation.

The School recommends at least an annual meeting between mentors and mentees to discuss progress and issues. The mentee should initiate these meetings. Faculty mentoring should cover the following areas:

1. Information about the system of governance (policies and procedures) within the unit and university.
2. Research: provide guidance on scholarly activities (reading manuscripts, suggesting publication outlets, providing feedback on grant proposals), advice on how to achieve short-term and long-term goals.
3. Teaching: reinforce the message that teaching is an important component of annual reviews and the promotion and tenure process, provide guidance on teaching issues.
4. Service: provide information about service expectations.

While mentors can provide an important role in reaching promotion, the junior faculty member has ultimate responsibility for compiling a record of scholarship, teaching and service that merits promotion and tenure. Mentees must take responsibility for their own growth and success, be proactive in seeking out information and guidance, and be open to constructive feedback. Ultimately, the mentor is one faculty member among many. Any advice a mentor provides must be considered only within the context of the mentee's goals and capabilities. The decisions and choices that untenured faculty make are ultimately their own.